

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/601,273 06/19/2003		Susan J. Braunhut	08688-057001 / UML 02-06	6439		
26161 75	90 03/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022			SCHUBERG, LAURA J			
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		1651			

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	cation No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/601,27	3	BRAUNHUT ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Laura Schi	uberg	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election rea	uirement.					
, —	,							
	on Papers							
• —	The specification is objected to by the Exami		_					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/601,273 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-20 and 46, drawn to a morphogen composition from an extracellular matrix and a method of making, classified in class 435, subclass 41 for example.
- II. Claims 21-30, drawn to methods of tissue reconstruction, classified in class 424, subclass 78.06 for example.
- III. Claims 31-37, drawn to a bandage for application to a tissue defect, classified in class 424, subclass 443 for example.
- IV. Claims 38-45, drawn to an electric bandage for application to a tissue defect, classified in class 438, subclass 99 for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are independent and distinct. Invention I recites a morphogen composition and method of making and Invention II recites a method of tissue reconstruction. Invention II requires an administration step that is not required for Invention I. Moreover, a reference which would anticipate one invention would not necessarily anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Also, both inventions recite numerous embodiments requiring extensive searching in numerous different subclasses, clearly constituting a significant burden on the examination process.

Inventions III and IV are independent and distinct. Invention III recites a bandage that requires an impermeable membrane forming a sealed cavity and Invention IV recites an electric bandage that requires conductors and an electric power source. Thus, the two inventions are clearly distinct in that they require different designs in their compositions. Moreover, a reference which would anticipate one invention would not necessarily anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Also, both inventions recite numerous embodiments requiring extensive searching in numerous different subclasses, clearly constituting a significant burden on the examination process.

Inventions I, II, are unrelated to III,IV. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are drawn to compositions of inventions III and IV that are not necessarily made or used by the methods of inventions I and II. There are limitations in inventions III and IV (e.g. an impermeable membrane or an electric power source) that are not recited in the methods or compositions of inventions I-II.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,273

Art Unit: 1651

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Morphogens: differentiating factors, growth factors, bioactive fragments of the extracellular matrix (ECM) as in claims 2 and 3 for example.

Plurality of Morphogens: two or more growth factors, differentiating factors, bioactive fragments of the ECM, fibroblast growth factor, transforming growth factor as in claims 16 and 17 for example.

Administering of the stimulated extracellular matrix: placing the bandage in contact with the tissue defect to saturate the tissue defect with morphogens, placing the stimulated matrix in contact with a tissue defect in a surgical site to saturate the tissue defect with morphogens as in claims 26 and 27 for example.

The species are independent or distinct because none would render any other species within that genus obvious. In addition, considering enablement, utility, and description issues for each claimed species, as well as conducting a thorough search of the prior art for each and every combination embodied by the present claims, would pose a serious burden to the examiner.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1651

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura Schuberg whose telephone number is 571-272-3347. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura Schuberg